arXiv:1602.05922v1 [math.CO] 18 Feb 2016

Connectivity, toughness, spanning trees of bounded degree, and the spectrum of regular graphs

Sebastian M. Cioabă* and Xiaofeng Gu†

February 19, 2016

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Miroslav Fiedler

Abstract

In this paper, we present some new results describing connections between the spectrum of a regular graph and its generalized connectivity, toughness, and the existence of spanning trees with bounded degree.

Key words: Eigenvalue, connectivity, toughness, spanning k-tree

1 Introduction

The spectrum of a graph is related to many important combinatorial parameters. In his fundamental and ground-breaking work, Fiedler [16, 17] determined close connections between the Laplacian eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a graph and combinatorial parameters such as its vertex-connectivity or edge-connectivity. Fiedler's work stimulated tremendous progress and growth in spectral graph theory since then.

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA. E-mail: cioaba@udel.edu. This work was partially supported by the National Security Agency grant H98230-13-0267.

†Department of Mathematics, University of West Georgia, Carrollton, GA 30118, USA. E-mail: xgu@westga.edu

In this paper, we study the connections between the spectrum of a regular graph and other combinatorial parameters such as generalized connectivity, toughness and the existence of spanning trees with bounded degree.

Throughout this paper, we consider only finite, undirected and simple graphs. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ of order n, we denote by $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(G)$ the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. When the graph G is clear from the context, we use λ_i to denote $\lambda_i(G)$. We also use the notation $\lambda = \max\{|\lambda_2|, |\lambda_n|\}$. If G is d-regular, then $\lambda_1 = d$ and the multiplicity of d equals the number of components of G. We use $\kappa(G), \kappa'(G)$ and $c(G)$ to denote the vertex-connectivity, the edge-connectivity and the number of components of a graph G, respectively. For any undefined graph theoretic notions, see Bondy and Murty [3] or Brouwer and Haemers [6].

One of well-known results of Fiedler [16] implies that the vertex-connectivity of a d-regular graph is at least $d - \lambda_2$. This result was improved in certain ranges by Krivelevich and Sudakov [25] who showed that the vertex-connectivity of a d-regular graph is at least $d - \frac{36\lambda^2}{d}$. Given an integer $l \geq 2$, Chartrand, Kapoor, Lesniak and Lick [8] defined the **l-connectivity** $\kappa_l(G)$ of a graph G to be the minimum number of vertices of G whose removal produces a disconnected graph with at least l components or a graph with fewer than l vertices. Thus $\kappa_l(G) = 0$ if and only if $c(G) \geq l$ or $|V(G)| \leq l - 1$. Note that $\kappa_2(G) = \kappa(G)$. For $k \geq 1$, a graph G is called **(k, l)-connected** if $\kappa_l \geq k$. See [8, 14, 23, 32] for more about l-connectivity and (k, l)-connected graphs. In particular, a structural characterization of $(2, l)$-connected graphs is presented in [23], as a generalization of the standard characterization of 2-connected graphs (see [3, Chapter 5]).

Our results relating generalized connectivity to the spectrum of a regular graph are below.

Theorem 1.1. *Let l, k be integers with $l \geq k \geq 2$. For any connected d-regular graph G with $|V(G)| \geq k + l - 1$, $d \geq 3$ and edge connectivity κ', if $\kappa' = d$, or, if $\kappa' < d$ and*

$$\lambda_{\lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil}(G) < \begin{cases} \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+12}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+8}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

then $\kappa_l(G) \geq k$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $l \geq 2$. For any connected d-regular graph G with $|V(G)| \geq l + 1$ and $d \geq 3$, if*

$$\lambda_l(G) < \begin{cases} \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+12}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+8}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

then $\kappa_l(G) \geq 2$.

Corollary 1.3. *For any connected d-regular graph G with* $d \geq 3$*, if*

$$\lambda_2(G) < \begin{cases} \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+12}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+8}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

then $\kappa(G) \geq 2$.

Corollary 1.3 is a slight improvement of previous results of Krivelevich and Sudakov [25, Theorem 4.1] and Fiedler [16, Theorem 4.1].

The toughness $t(G)$ of a connected graph G is defined as $t(G) = \min\{\frac{|S|}{c(G-S)}\}$, where the minimum is taken over all proper subset $S \subset V(G)$ such that $c(G-S) > 1$. A graph G is **t-tough** if $t(G) \geq t$. This parameter was introduced by Chvátal [9] in 1973 and is closely related to many graph properties, including Hamiltonicity, pancyclicity and spanning trees, see [2]. By definitions of toughness and generalized connectivity, for a noncomplete connected graph G, we have $t(G) = \min_{2 \leq l \leq \alpha}\{\frac{\kappa_l(G)}{l}\}$ where α is the independence number of G (see also [14]).

The relationship between the toughness of a regular graph and eigenvalues has been considered by many researchers, among which Alon [1] is the first one.

Theorem 1.4 (Alon [1])**.** *For any connected d-regular graph G,* $t(G) > \frac{1}{3}(\frac{d^2}{d\lambda+\lambda^2} - 1)$.

Around the same time, Brouwer [4] independently discovered a slightly better bound of $t(G)$.

Theorem 1.5 (Brouwer [4])**.** *For any connected d-regular graph G,* $t(G) > \frac{d}{\lambda} - 2$.

Brouwer [5] conjectured that the lower bound of the previous theorem can be improved to $\frac{d}{\lambda} - 1$ for any connected d-regular graph G. For the special case of toughness 1, Liu and Chen [27] improved Brouwer's previous result.

Theorem 1.6 (Liu and Chen [27])**.** *For any connected d-regular graph G, if*

$$\lambda_2(G) < \begin{cases} d - 1 + \frac{3}{d+1}, & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\ d - 1 + \frac{2}{d+1}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

then $t(G) \geq 1$.

Recently, Cioabă and Wong [13] further improved the above result.

Theorem 1.7 (Cioabă and Wong [13]). *For any connected d-regular graph G, if*

$$\lambda_2(G) < \begin{cases} \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+12}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+8}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

then $t(G) \geq 1$.

Moreover, Cioabă and Wong [13] showed the previous result is best possible by constructing d-regular graphs whose second largest eigenvalues equals the right hand-side of the previous theorem, but having toughness less than 1. An immediate corollary of the previous result is the following.

Corollary 1.8 (Cioabă and Wong [13]). *For any bipartite connected d-regular graph G, if*

$$\lambda_2(G) < \begin{cases} \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+12}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+8}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

then $t(G) = 1$.

These authors also found the second largest eigenvalue condition for $t(G) \geq \tau$, where $\tau \leq \kappa'/d$ is a positive number.

Theorem 1.9 (Cioabă and Wong [13]). *Let G be a connected d-regular graph with edge connectivity κ' and $d \geq 3$. Suppose that τ is a positive number with $\tau \leq \kappa'/d$. If $\lambda_2(G) < d - \frac{\tau d}{d+1}$, then $t(G) \geq \tau$.*

In this paper, we continue to investigate the relationship between toughness of a regular graph and its eigenvalues. The following theorems are the main results. As $\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil \geq 2$, Theorem 1.10 is an improvement of Theorem 1.7. For bipartite regular graphs, Theorem 1.11 improves Corollary 1.8. We shall also mention that in Theorem 1.9 the eigenvalue condition is not needed, see Theorem 1.12. As an application of Theorem 1.12, Corollary 1.13 confirms a conjecture of Brouwer [5] when $\kappa' < d$.

Theorem 1.10. *Let G be a connected d-regular graph with $d \geq 3$ and edge connectivity κ'. If $\kappa' = d$, or, if $\kappa' < d$ and*

$$\lambda_{\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil}(G) < \begin{cases} \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+12}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{d-2+\sqrt{d^2+8}}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

then $t(G) \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.11. *For any bipartite connected d-regular graph G with $\kappa' < d$, if $\lambda_{\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil}(G) < d - \frac{d-1}{2d}$, then $t(G) = 1$.*

Theorem 1.12. *Let G be a connected d-regular graph with edge connectivity κ'. Then $t(G) \geq \kappa'/d$.*

Corollary 1.13. *For any connected d-regular graph G with $d \geq 3$ and edge connectivity $\kappa' < d$, $t(G) > \frac{d}{\lambda_2} - 1 \geq \frac{d}{\lambda} - 1$.*

Recently, there has been a lot of activity concerning connections between eigenvalues of a graph and the maximum number of edge-disjoint spanning trees that can be packed in the graph [12, 19, 21, 22, 26, 28, 29, 35]. Another interesting problem would be to see how eigenvalues of a graph influence the types of spanning trees contained in it. For an integer $k \geq 2$, a **k-tree** is a tree with the maximum degree at most k. This topic is related to connected factors. A **$[1, k]$-factor** is a spanning subgraph in which each vertex has the degree at least one and at most k. By definition, a graph G has a spanning k-tree if and only if G has a connected $[1, k]$-factor. For more about degree bounded trees, we refer readers to the survey [33]. For spectral conditions of k-factors in regular graphs, please see [11, 20, 30, 31]. In his PhD Dissertation, Wong [35] proved the following sufficient spectral condition for the existence of spanning k-trees in regular graphs for $k \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.14 (Wong [35])**.** *Let $k \geq 3$ and G be a connected d-regular graph. If $\lambda_4 < d - \frac{d}{(k-2)(d+1)}$, then G has a spanning k-tree.*

In this paper, we improve this result.

Theorem 1.15. *Let $k \geq 3$ and G be a connected d-regular graph with edge connectivity κ'. Let $l = d - (k-2)\kappa'$. Each of the following statements holds.*
(i) If $l \leq 0$, then G has a spanning k-tree.
(ii) If $l > 0$ and $\lambda_{\lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil} < d - \frac{d}{(k-2)(d+1)}$, then G has a spanning k-tree.

Note that eigenvalue conditions for the existence of spanning 2-trees (Hamiltonian paths) and Hamiltonian cycles have been obtained by Krivelevich and Sudakov [24] and Butler and Chung [7].

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some eigenvalue interlacing results to be used in our arguments. For a real and symmetric matrix M of order n and a natural number $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote

by $\lambda_i(M)$ the i-th largest eigenvalue of M. The following interlacing theorem can be found in many textbooks, for example, [6, page 35] or [18, page 193], and is usually referred to as Cauchy eigenvalue interlacing.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a real symmetric $n\times n$ matrix and B be a principal $m\times m$ submatrix of A. Then $\lambda_i(A) \geq \lambda_i(B) \geq \lambda_{n-m+i}(A)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let $S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_p$ be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$ with $e(S_i, S_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. For $1 \leq i \leq p$, let $G[S_i]$ denote the subgraph of G induced by S_i. Then*

$$\lambda_p(G) \geq \lambda_p(G[\cup_{i=1}^{p} S_i]) \geq \min_{1\leq i\leq p}\{\lambda_1(G[S_i])\}.$$

Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer, and $\mathcal{X}(d)$ denote the family of all connected irregular graphs with maximum degree d, order $n \geq d+1$ and size m with $2m \geq dn - d + 1$ that have at least two vertices of degree d if d is odd and at least three vertices of degree d if d is even. If $t \geq 2$ is an even integer, let M_t denote the disjoint union of $t/2$ edges. If G and H are two vertex disjoint graphs, the join $G \vee H$ of G and H is the graph obtained by taking the union of G and H and adding all the edges between the vertex set of G and the vertex set of H. The complement of G is denoted by $\overline{G}$. For $d \geq 3$, define X_d as $\overline{M}_{d-1} \vee K_2$ if d is odd and $\overline{M}_{d-2} \vee K_3$ if d is even.

Lemma 2.3 (Cioabă and Wong [13])**.** *Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer and $H \in \mathcal{X}(d)$. Then*

$$\lambda_1(H) \geq \theta(d) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(d-2+\sqrt{d^2+12}), & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{2}(d-2+\sqrt{d^2+8}), & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Equality happens if and only if $G = X_d$.

Theorem 2.4 (Cioabă [10])**.** *Let k and d be two integers with $d \geq k \geq 2$. If G is a d-regular graph with $\lambda_2(G) < d - \frac{2(k-1)}{d+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a d-regular graph with $d \geq 2$ and edge connectivity $\kappa' < d$. Then $\lambda_2(G) \geq d - \frac{2\kappa'}{d+1}$.*

Proof: Let $k = \kappa' + 1$ in the contrapositive of Theorem 2.4. □

3 Spectrum and generalized connectivity of regular graphs

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 follow from Theorem 1.1 obviously.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: We prove it by contradiction and assume that $\kappa_l(G) < k$. By definition, there exists a subset $S \subset V(G)$ with $|S| \leq k-1$ such that $c(G-S) \geq l$. Let $s = |S|$, $c = c(G-S)$ and $H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_c$ be the components of $G-S$. For $1 \leq i \leq c$, let $n_i = |V(H_i)|$ and t_i be the number of edges between H_i and S. Then $t_i \geq \kappa'$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$. Since G is d-regular, $\sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \leq ds \leq d(k-1)$.

As $d(k-1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \geq c\kappa' \geq l\kappa'$, we have $ld - d(k-1) \leq ld - l\kappa'$. If $\kappa' = d$, then the previous inequality is impossible, a contradiction. Thus we may assume that $\kappa' < d$, and hence $l \geq \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'}$. We claim that there are at least $\lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$ indices i such that $t_i < d$. Otherwise, there are at most $\lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil - 1$ indices i such that $t_i < d$. In other words, there are at least $c - \lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil + 1$ indices i with $t_i \geq d$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i &\geq (c - \lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil + 1)d + (\lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil - 1)\kappa' \\
&= cd - (\lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil - 1)(d-\kappa') \\
&> cd - \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'}(d-\kappa') \\
&= cd - (l-k+1)d = (c-l)d + (k-1)d \\
&\geq ds,
\end{aligned}$$

contrary to $\sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \leq ds$. Hence there are at least $\lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$ indices i such that $t_i < d$. Without loss of generality, we may assume these indices are $1, 2, \cdots, \lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$.

For $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$, $n_i \geq d+1$. Otherwise, if $n_i \leq d$, then $dn_i = t_i + 2|E(H_i)| \leq t_i + n_i(n_i-1) \leq t_i + d(n_i-1)$, which implies $t_i \geq d$, contrary to $t_i < d$.

Since $dn_i = t_i + 2|E(H_i)|$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$, if d is even, then t_i is also even, and thus $t_i \leq d-2$. If d is odd, then $t_i \leq d-1$. As $n_i \geq d+1$, each H_i contains at least three vertices of degree d if d is even and at least two vertices of degree d if d is odd. Thus $H_i \in \mathcal{X}_d$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$. By Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, $\lambda_{\lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil}(G) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{(l-k+1)d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil} \{\lambda_1(H_i)\} \geq \theta(d)$, contrary to the assumption. This finishes the proof. □

4 Spectrum and toughness of regular graphs

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.10, 1.11, 1.12 and Corollary 1.13.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. We prove it by contradiction and assume that $t(G) < 1$. By definition, there exists a subset $S \subset V(G)$ such that $\frac{|S|}{c(G-S)} < 1$. Let $s = |S|$, $c = c(G-S)$ and $H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_c$ be the components of $G - S$. For $1 \leq i \leq c$, let $n_i = |V(H_i)|$ and t_i be the number of edges between H_i and S. Then $s < c$ and $t_i \geq \kappa'$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$. Since G is d-regular, $\sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \leq ds$.

As $c\kappa' \leq \sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \leq ds \leq d(c-1)$, we have $c(d-\kappa') \geq d$. If $\kappa' = d$, then we get a contradiction. Thus we may assume that $\kappa' < d$, and so $c \geq \frac{d}{d-\kappa'}$. We claim that there are at least $\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$ indices i such that $t_i < d$. Otherwise, there are at most $\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil - 1$ indices i such that $t_i < d$. In other words, there are at least $c - \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil + 1$ indices i with $t_i \geq d$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i &\geq (c - \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil + 1)d + (\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil - 1)\kappa' \\
&= cd - (\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil - 1)(d-\kappa') \\
&> cd - \frac{d}{d-\kappa'}(d-\kappa') = cd - d \\
&\geq ds,
\end{aligned}$$

contrary to $\sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \leq ds$. Thus there are at least $\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$ indices i such that $t_i < d$. Without loss of generality, we may assume these indices are $1, 2, \cdots, \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$.

For $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$, $n_i \geq d+1$. Otherwise, if $n_i \leq d$, then $dn_i = t_i + 2|E(H_i)| \leq t_i + n_i(n_i-1) \leq t_i + d(n_i-1)$, which implies $t_i \geq d$, contrary to $t_i < d$.

Since $dn_i = t_i + 2|E(H_i)|$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$, if d is even, then t_i is also even, and thus $t_i \leq d-2$. If d is odd, then $t_i \leq d-1$. As $n_i \geq d+1$, each H_i contains at least three vertices of degree d if d is even and at least two vertices of degree d if d is odd. Thus $H_i \in \mathcal{X}_d$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$. By Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, $\lambda_{\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil}(G) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil} \{\lambda_1(H_i)\} \geq \theta(d)$, contrary to the assumption. This finishes the proof. □

Lemma 4.1. *For any bipartite regular graph G, $t(G) \leq 1$.*

Proof: Let S be the set of vertices of one part of the bipartition. Then $c(G-S) = |S|$. Thus $t(G) \leq \frac{|S|}{c(G-S)} = 1$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.11: We prove it by contradiction and assume that $t(G) \neq 1$. By Lemma 4.1, $t(G) < 1$. By definition, there exists a subset $S \subset V(G)$ such that $\frac{|S|}{c(G-S)} < 1$. Similar argument as Theorem 1.10 shows that there are at least $\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$ components H_i of $G-S$ such that $t_i < d$, where t_i is the number of edges between H_i and S for $1, 2, \cdots, \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$. Let $n_i = |V(H_i)|$ and $m_i = |E(H_i)|$ for $1, 2, \cdots, \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil$. Then $2m_i = dn_i - t_i \geq dn_i - d + 1$. As each H_i is also bipartite, $m_i \leq n_i^2/4$. Thus $n_i^2/2 \geq 2m_i \geq dn_i - d + 1$, which implies that $n_i^2 - 2dn_i + 2d - 2 \geq 0$. Hence $n_i \geq 2d$. By Corollary 2.2,

$$\lambda_{\lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil}(G) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil} \{\lambda_1(H_i)\} \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{d}{d-\kappa'} \rceil} \{\frac{2m_i}{n_i}\} \geq \frac{dn_i - d + 1}{n_i} \geq d - \frac{d-1}{2d},$$

contrary to the assumption. This finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.12: Suppose that S is a vertex-cut of G. Let $s = |S|$, $c = c(G - S)$ and $H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_c$ be the components of $G - S$. For $1 \leq i \leq c$, let $n_i = |V(H_i)|$ and t_i be the number of edges between H_i and S. Then $t_i \geq \kappa'$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$. As G is d-regular, $\sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \leq ds$. Thus $c\kappa' \leq \sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \leq ds$, which implies that $s/c \geq \kappa'/d$. Hence $t(G) \geq \kappa'/d$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.13: By Corollary 2.5, $\lambda_2 \geq d - \frac{2\kappa'}{d+1}$, which implies that $\frac{2\kappa'}{\lambda_2(d+1)} \geq \frac{d}{\lambda_2} - 1$. If $d \geq 4$, then $\lambda_2 \geq d - \frac{2\kappa'}{d+1} > 2$. If $d = 3$, then $\kappa' \leq 2$, and thus $\lambda_2 \geq d - \frac{2\kappa'}{d+1} \geq 2$. By Theorem 1.12,

$$t(G) \geq \kappa'/d > \frac{\kappa'/d}{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{d})} = \frac{2\kappa'}{\lambda_2(d+1)} \geq \frac{d}{\lambda_2} - 1,$$

which completes the proof. □

5 Spectrum and spanning k-trees in regular graphs

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.15. We will use the following sufficient condition of the existence of a spanning k-tree obtained by Win [34], which was also proved by Ellingham and Zha [15] with a new proof later.

Theorem 5.1 (Ellingham and Zha [15], Win [34])**.** *Let $k \geq 2$ and G be a connected graph. If for any $S \subseteq V(G)$, $c(G - S) \leq (k-2)|S| + 2$, then G has a spanning k-tree.*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.15.

Proof of Theorem 1.15. We prove it by contradiction and assume that G does not have spanning k-trees for $k \geq 3$. By Theorem 5.1, there exists a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that

$$c(G-S) \geq (k-2)|S| + 3. \tag{1}$$

Let $s = |S|$, $c = c(G-S)$ and $H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_c$ be the components of $G-S$. For $1 \leq i \leq c$, let $n_i = |V(H_i)|$ and t_i be the number of edges between H_i and S. Then $t_i \geq \kappa'$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$. Since G is d-regular, $c\kappa' \leq \sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i \leq ds$. By (1), $s \leq (c-3)/(k-2)$. Thus $c\kappa' \leq d(c-3)/(k-2)$, which implies that

$$c\,(d-(k-2)\kappa') \geq 3d. \tag{2}$$

Thus $l = d-(k-2)\kappa' > 0$, contrary to (i). This proves (i). In the following, we continue to prove (ii).

By (2), $c \geq \lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil$. We claim that there are at least $\lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil$ indices i such that $t_i < d/(k-2)$. Otherwise, there are at most $\lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil - 1$ indices i such that $t_i < d/(k-2)$. In other words, there are at least $c - \lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil + 1$ indices i with $t_i \geq d/(k-2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ds \geq \sum_{i=1}^{c} t_i &\geq (c - \lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil + 1) \cdot \frac{d}{k-2} + (\lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil - 1)\kappa' \\ &= \frac{cd}{k-2} - (\lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil - 1)(\frac{d}{k-2} - \kappa') \\ &> \frac{cd}{k-2} - \frac{3d}{l} \cdot (\frac{d}{k-2} - \kappa') \\ &= \frac{cd}{k-2} - \frac{3d}{k-2} = d \cdot \frac{c-3}{k-2} \\ &\geq ds, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This proves that there are at least $\lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil$ indices i such that $t_i < d/(k-2)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume these indices are $1, 2, \cdots, \lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil$.

For $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil$, since $t_i < d/(k-2)$, it is not hard to get $n_i \geq d+1$ by counting total degree of H_i. By Corollary 2.2, $\lambda_{\lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil}(G) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{3d}{l} \rceil} \{\lambda_1(H_i)\} \geq d - \frac{d}{(k-2)(d+1)}$, contrary to the assumption. This finishes the proof. □

6 Final Remarks

In this paper, we determined some new connections between the spectrum of a regular graph and its generalized connectivity, toughness or the existence of spanning k-trees. Some of

our results are best possible. For example, the constructions from [13, Section 3] show that the upper bound from Theorem 1.10 is best possible. Also, Corollary 1.3 is best possible when $d = 4$. To see this, construct a 4-regular graph by taking two disjoint copies of X_4 and adding a new vertex adjacent to the 4 vertices (2 in each copy of X_4) of degree 3. The resulting graph is 4-regular, has vertex-connectivity 1 and its second largest eigenvalue equals the upper bound from Corollary 1.3.

It would interesting to improve and generalize our results to general graphs and eigenvalues of Laplacian matrix, signless Laplacian or normalized Laplacian.

References

[1] N. Alon, Tough Ramsey graphs without short cycles, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **4** (1995), 189-195.

[2] D. Bauer, H. J. Broersma and E. Schmeichel, Toughness of graphs - a survey, *Graphs Combin.* **22** (2006), 1-35.

[3] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, 2008.

[4] A. E. Brouwer, Toughness and spectrum of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **226/228** (1995), 267-271.

[5] A. E. Brouwer, Connectivity and spectrum of graphs, *CWI Quarterly* **9** (1996), 37-40.

[6] A. E. Brouwer and W. H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer Universitext, 2012. Available from: `http://homepages.cwi.nl/~aeb/math/ipm/ipm.pdf`

[7] S. Butler and F. Chung, Small spectral gap in the combinatorial Laplacian implies Hamiltonian, *Ann. Comb.* **13** (2010), 403–412.

[8] G. Chartrand, S. F. Kapoor, L. Lesniak and D. R. Lick, Generalized connectivity in graphs, *Bull. Bombay Math. Colloq.* **2** (1984), 1-6.

[9] V. Chvátal, Tough graphs and hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Math.* **5** (1973), 215-228.

[10] S. M. Cioabă, Eigenvalues and edge-connectivity of regular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010), 458-470.

[11] S. M. Cioabă, D.A. Gregory and W.H. Haemers, Matchings in regular graphs from eigenvalues, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **99** (2009), 287–297.

[12] S. M. Cioabă and W. Wong, Edge-disjoint spanning trees and eigenvalues of regular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 630-647.

[13] S. M. Cioabă and W. Wong, The spectrum and toughness of regular graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **176** (2014), 43–52.

[14] D. P. Day, O. R. Oellermann and H. C. Swart, Bounds on the size of graphs of given order and l-connectivity, *Discrete Math.* **197/198** (1999), 217-223.

[15] M. N. Ellingham and X. Zha, Toughness, trees, and walks, *J. Graph Theory* **33** (2000), 125-137.

[16] M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **23** (1973), 298–305.

[17] M. Fiedler, A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices and its application to graph theory, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **25** (1975), 619–633.

[18] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[19] X. Gu, Connectivity and spanning trees of graphs, PhD Dissertation, West Virginia University, 2013.

[20] X. Gu, Regular factors and eigenvalues of regular graphs, *European J. Combin.* **42** (2014), 15–25.

[21] X. Gu, Spectral conditions for edge connectivity and packing spanning trees in multigraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **493** (2016), 82-90

[22] X. Gu, H.-J. Lai, P. Li and S. Yao, Edge-disjoint spanning trees, edge connectivity and eigenvalues in graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **81** (2016), 16-29.

[23] X. Gu, H. -J. Lai and S. Yao, Characterization of minimally $(2, l)$-connected graphs, *Information Processing Letters* **111** (2011), 1124-1129.

[24] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, Sparse pseudo-random graphs are Hamiltonian, *J. Graph Theory* **42** (2003), no. 1, 17–33.

[25] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, Pseudo-random graphs. More sets, graphs and numbers, 199–262, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 15, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[26] G. Li and L. Shi, Edge-disjoint spanning trees and eigenvalues of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439** (2013), 2784-2789.

[27] B. Liu and S. Chen, Algebraic conditions for t-tough graphs, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **60** (2010), 1079-1089.

[28] Q. Liu, Y. Hong and H.-J. Lai, Edge-disjoint spanning trees and eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **444** (2014), 146-151.

[29] Q. Liu, Y. Hong, X. Gu and H.-J. Lai, Note on edge-disjoint spanning trees and eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **458** (2014), 128-133.

[30] H. Lu, Regular factors of regular graphs from eigenvalues, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17** (2010), #R159.

[31] H. Lu, Regular graphs, eigenvalues and regular factors, *J. Graph Theory* **69** (2012), 349-355.

[32] O. R. Oellermann, On the l-connectivity of a graph, *Graph Combin.* **3** (1987), 285-291.

[33] K. Ozeki and T. Yamashita, Spanning Trees: A Survey, *Graph Combin.* **27** (2011), 1-26.

[34] S. Win, On a connection between the existence of k-trees and the toughness of a graph, *Graph Combin.* **5** (1989), 201-205.

[35] W. Wong, Spanning trees, Toughness, and eigenvalues of regular graphs, PhD Dissertation, University of Delaware, 2013, available at `http://pqdtopen.proquest.com/doc/1443835286.html?FMT=ABS`